

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/697,086	OKADA, KAZUO
Examiner	Art Unit	
Matthew D. Hoel	3714	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Matthew D. Hoel, examiner.

(3) _____

(2) Carl Schaukowitch, attorney.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 29 November 2006

Time: P.M.

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

ind. clms. 1, 4.

Prior art documents discussed:

Ozaki ('658), Niyama ('182).

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Proposed examiner's amendment to allow case. Mr. Schaukowitch called later indicating that the proposed amendments were acceptable and faxed in the claims including the proposed amendments on Dec. 12th, 2006.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)